IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

RAGIL et al. Examiner: Tam M. Nguyen

Serial No.: 10/042,248 Group Art Unit: 1764

Filed: January 11, 2002

Title: HIGH OCTANE NUMBER GASOLINES AND THEIR PRODUCTION

USING A PROCESS ASSOCIATING HYDROISOMERIZATION AND

SEPARATION

REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop: **AF**Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to the Examiner's Answer mailed on August 25, 2008, please consider the following.

At the bottom of page 5 and top of page 6, the Examiner points to page 11 of the specification, where it is disclosed that the feed can originate from an atmospheric distillation and alleges that this could be considered as a pre-treating step.

However, the claims recite a "fresh feed not previously treated so as to separate dibranched and tri-branched paraffins therefrom," which is explicitly clear in that not all possible pre-treatments are excluded by said language, but only ones where the treatment is directed to the separation of di-branched and tri-branched paraffins therefrom.

The specification on page 11 in the paragraph immediately after discussing atmospheric distillation of the feed discloses that the feed "is mainly composed of straight-chain, mono-branchd and multi-branched paraffins, ..." Thus, it is clear from this disclosure that the atmospheric distillation of the feed is not a treatment where di-branched and tribranched paraffins are separated from the feed.

For all the foregoing reasons, and the reasons discussed in the Appeal Brief, reversal of the rejections is respectfully and courteously requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

Csaba Henter (Reg. No. 50,908) Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400 2200 Clarendon Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22201 Telephone: (703) 243-6333 Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: PET-1710-C01

Date: October 27, 2008

 $CH/AJZ/pdrK:\PET\1710\C1\Reply\ Brief.doc$